

Statement of Common Ground between Morgan Offshore Wind Limited and Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea Committee)





Document status							
Version	Purpose of document	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Review date		
F01	Deadline 1	RPS	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd.	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd.	October 2024		
Prepared b	y:	Prepare	d for:				
RPS			Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd.				

Signatories	
Signed	
Name	
Date	
Position	
For	Isle of Man Territorial Sea Committee
Signed	
Name	
Date	
Position	
For	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited



Contents

1.1	Introdu	ction	1
	1.1.1	Overview	1
	1.1.2	Morgan Generation Assets Elements under Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea	
		Committee) Remit	
	1.1.3	Overview of Morgan Generation Assets	
	1.1.4	Approach to SoCG	
1.2	Summa	ary of SoCG	3
	1.2.1	Overview	3
	1.2.2	Summary of Those Matters Agreed, Ongoing Points of Discussion and Not Agreed	3
1.3	Summa	ary of consultation	4
1.4	Agreen	nent log	. 10
	1.4.1	Overview	. 10
	1.4.2	Seascape, landscape and visual resources	. 11
	1.4.3	Benthic ecology and physical processes	. 13
	1.4.4	Fish and shellfish ecology	. 15
	1.4.5	Shipping and lifeline ferry services	. 17
	1.4.6	Socio-economics	. 22
	1.4.7	Marine mammals	. 24
	1.4.8	Offshore ornithology	. 27
	1.4.9	Commercial fisheries	
	1.4.10	Other sea users	. 32

Tables

Summary of areas agreed, ongoing points of discussion and not agreed between the partie	es3
Summary of pre-application consultation with Isle of Man Government TSC.	4
Summary of post-application consultation with Isle of Man Government TSC.	9
Position definitions and colour coding.	10
Agreement Log between the parties on seascape, landscape and visual resources	11
Agreement Log between the parties on benthic ecology and physical processes	13
Agreement Log between the parties on fish and shellfish ecology.	15
Agreement Log between the parties on shipping and navigation.	17
Agreement Log between the parties on socio-economics	22
Agreement Log between the parties on marine mammals	24
Agreement Log between the parties on offshore ornithology	27
Agreement Log between the parties on commercial fisheries.	30
Agreement Log between the parties on other sea users	32
	Summary of pre-application consultation with Isle of Man Government TSC. Summary of post-application consultation with Isle of Man Government TSC. Position definitions and colour coding. Agreement Log between the parties on seascape, landscape and visual resources. Agreement Log between the parties on benthic ecology and physical processes. Agreement Log between the parties on fish and shellfish ecology. Agreement Log between the parties on shipping and navigation. Agreement Log between the parties on socio-economics. Agreement Log between the parties on marine mammals. Agreement Log between the parties on offshore ornithology. Agreement Log between the parties on commercial fisheries.



Glossary

Term	Meaning
Applicant	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited.
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP).
Morgan Offshore Wind Project	The Morgan Offshore Wind Project is comprised of both the generation assets and offshore and onshore transmission assets, and associated activities.
Morgan Array Area	The area within which the wind turbines, foundations, inter-array cables, interconnector cables, scour protection, cable protection and offshore substation platforms (OSPs) forming part of the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets will be located.
Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets	This is the name given to the Morgan Generation Assets project as a whole (includes all infrastructure and activities associated with the project construction, operations and maintenance, and decommissioning).
The Planning Inspectorate	The agency responsible for operating the planning process for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects.

Acronyms

Acronym	Description	
DCO	Development Consent Order	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
HRA	Habitat Regulation Assessment	
loM Defa	Isle of Man Department for Environment, Food and Agriculture	
ISAA	Information to Support Appropriate Assessment	
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs	
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs	
OFLCP	Outline Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan	
OSP	Offshore Substation Platform	
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground	
TSC	Territorial Sea Committee	



1 Statement of Common Ground between Morgan Offshore Wind Limited and Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea **Committee**)

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 **Overview**

- This Initial Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared between 1.1.1.1 Morgan Offshore Wind Limited (hereafter referred to as 'the Applicant') and Isle of Man Government Territorial Sea Committee (TSC), hereafter referred together as the parties. The SoCG sets out the areas of agreement and disagreement between the parties in relation to the proposed Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets (hereafter referred to as the 'Morgan Generation Assets').
- 1.1.1.2 The Applicant has engaged with a number of Isle of Man stakeholders through consultation to date, including:
 - Isle of Man Government (IoMG) •
 - Isle of Man Department of Environment, Food and Agriculture (IoM Defa) •
 - Isle of Man Department of Infrastructure (IoM Dol) •
 - Isle of Man Steam Packet Company (IoMSPC) •
 - Isle of Man Ronaldsway Airport
 - Manx Fish Producers Organisation (MFPO)
 - Manx National Heritage. •
- 1.1.1.3 The need for a SoCG between the Applicant and IoM TSC is set out within the Rule 6 letter that was issued by the Planning Inspectorate on 05 August 2024 (PD-001). A single SoCG is being sought with the TSC as the overarching body representing various Departments of the Isle of Man government. The TSC is also responsible for the management of the Island's territorial sea. However, it should be noted that whilst there is overlap between the interest of the TSC and external Isle of Man stakeholders, this initial SoCG only represents the position of the TSC and cannot represent the views of the IoMSPC or MFPO.
- 1.1.1.4 This document is intended to provide the Examining Authority with an overview of the level of common ground between the parties. The SoCG will facilitate further discussion between the parties and will be updated during the Morgan Generation Assets Examination and submitted at the Deadlines indicated in the Rule 6 letter (PD-001).

1.1.2 Morgan Generation Assets Elements under Isle of Man Government (Territorial Sea Committee) Remit

1.1.2.1 The TSC is a cross-governmental committee which was set up to manage the Isle of Man's interests following the purchase of the Territorial Seas (including hydrocarbon, coal and mineral rights) by the Isle of Man Government from the United Kingdom Government in 1991. The elements of the Morgan Generation Assets which may affect



the interests of Isle of Man TSC are detailed in Schedule 1 (Authorised Project), Part 1 (Authorised Development) of the Draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).

- 1.1.2.2 This SoCG covers the following topics of relevance to Isle of Man Government TSC:
- 1.1.2.3 Assessment and proposed mitigation of effects on:
 - Seascape, landscape and visual resources
 - Benthic ecology and physical processes •
 - Fish and shellfish ecology
 - Shipping and lifeline ferry services
 - Social or economic receptors (direct and indirect) •
 - Marine mammals
 - Offshore ornithology
 - Commercial fisheries
 - Other sea users.
- 1.1.2.4 Potential impacts upon Ramsar sites have been assessed within the draft HRA. The Isle of Man National Nature Reserves are designated under the Wildlife Act 1990. Therefore, the Isle of Man Marine Nature Reserves are considered within the Environmental Statement. Areas of agreement and disagreement between the parties on the Isle of Man Marine Nature Reserves is covered under the topic specific tables below.
- 1.1.2.5 The following topics have not been included in this SoCG as they are outside the remit of the Isle of Man TSC and are instead the subject to SoCGs with the relevant organisations indicated:
 - Aviation and radar to be covered by SoCG with Isle of Man Ronaldsway Airport
 - Cultural heritage (Terrestrial and marine) to be covered by SoCG with Manx • National Heritage.

1.1.3 **Overview of Morgan Generation Assets**

- 1.1.3.1 The Morgan Generation Assets is a proposed offshore wind farm located in the east Irish Sea. The Morgan Generation Assets will include offshore infrastructure and consists of:
 - Morgan Array Area: This is where the wind turbines, Offshore Substation Platforms (OSPs), foundations (for both wind turbines and OSPs), inter-array cables and interconnector cables will be located.

1.1.4 Approach to SoCG

1.1.4.1 This SoCG has been developed during the pre-examination phase and will be progressed during the examination phase of the Morgan Generation Assets. In accordance with discussions between the parties, the SoCG is focused on those issues raised by Isle of Man TSC within its response to Scoping, Section 42 consultation and as raised through the Evidence Plan process and the Marine Navigation Engagement Forum (MNEF) that has underpinned the pre-application consultation between the parties. This SoCG also includes those issues raised by Isle



of Man TSC during the post-application phase (i.e. relevant representations and preexamination meetings).

1.1.4.2 The structure of this SoCG is as follows:

- Section 1.1: Introduction
- Section 1.2: Summary of SoCG •
- Section 1.3: Summary of consultation
- Section 1.4: Agreement Log.

1.2 Summary of SoCG

1.2.1 **Overview**

1.2.1.1 This SoCG outlines the consultation that has taken place between the parties during the pre-application and post-application phase of the Morgan Generation Assets. The agreement logs present the position reached on 03 October 2024 (Deadline 1).

1.2.2 Summary of Those Matters Agreed, Ongoing Points of Discussion and **Not Agreed**

1.2.2.1 Table 1.1 provides a summary of those matters agreed, an ongoing point of discussion or not agreed between the parties.

Summary of areas agreed, ongoing points of discussion and not agreed Table 1.1: between the parties.

Торіс	Agreed
Seascape, landscape and visual resources	Some points agreed, some ongoing points of discussion
Benthic ecology and physical processes	Agreed
Fish and shellfish ecology	Some points agreed, some ongoing points of discussion
Shipping and lifeline ferry services	Some points agreed, some ongoing points of discussion
Social or economic receptors (direct and indirect)	Some points agreed, some ongoing points of discussion
Marine mammals	Some points agreed, some ongoing points of discussion
Offshore ornithology	Agreed
Commercial fisheries	Some points agreed, some ongoing points of discussion
Other sea users	Agreed



1.3 Summary of consultation

- 1.3.1.1 Table 1.2 below provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the Applicant with Isle of Man Government TSC during the pre-application phase of the Morgan Generation Assets.
- 1.3.1.2 Table 1.3 below provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the Applicant with Isle of Man Government TSC during the post-application phases of the Morgan Generation Assets.

Table 1.2: Summary of pre-application consultation with Isle of Man Government TSC.

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory or non-	Summary of consultation
	concatation	statutory	
		engagement	

Evidence Plan benthic ecology, fish and shellfish ecology and physical processes Expert Working Group (EWG)

29/11/2022	Meeting	Isle of Man	Non-statutory	Key project updates
		Department for Environment, Food and		 Presentation of the baseline characterisation and modelling approach
		Agriculture (IoM Defa)		Initial outputs of impact assessment
14/03/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	 To present the updated baseline characterisation for the Morgan Generation Assets
				Cumulative assessment approach and initial impact assessment approach to agreement.
11/07/2023	Meeting	loM Defa	Non-statutory	Discussion of statutory consultation responses
				Updates baselinesAgreement log
14/08/2023	Meeting	loM Defa	Non-statutory	 Provision of a technical note presenting the
14/00/2020	Weeting		non statatory	approach to physical processes modelling for the application.
12/10/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	 To present the updates to the benthic ecology baseline characterisation to address statutory consultation responses. Physical processes and fish and shellfish ecology were not discussed.
07/12/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	• Presentation of the final impact assessment, final mitigation and monitoring requirements and progress to agreement.
Evidence	Plan marine	mammal EWG	1	
09/02/2023	Meeting	loM Defa	Non-statutory	To present the updated baseline characterisation, underwater sound modelling outputs, and cumulative assessment.
03/08/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	To present the updated assessment and to discuss statutory consultation responses.



Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non- statutory engagement		ummary of consultation
10/10/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	•	Provision of technical note with approach to addressing outstanding items for agreement.
05/12/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	•	Final impact assessment, final mitigation and monitoring requirements, and progress to agreement.
Evidence	Plan offshore	ornithology E	WG		
23/02/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	•	To agree key receptor species and to present the interim assessment of impacts and discuss and agree the relevant regional populations and protected sites/qualifying interests for assessment and approach to HRA Stage 1 screening
				•	Discuss and agree scope of cumulative impact assessment and transboundary considerations
				•	To discuss and agree population assessment approaches and thresholds for LSE and integrity.
05/05/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	•	Provision of the updated methodology for offshore ornithology HRA Stage 1 screening and the ISAA.
30/06/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	•	Update to baseline characterisation for complete baseline data set and discuss and agree any amendments to previously agreed approaches. Statutory consultation responses.
10/07/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	•	Provision of the technical note presenting the power analysis undertaken at the request of the EWG.
19/10/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	•	Presentation of updated baseline characterisation and impact assessment for the Environmental Statement.
08/12/2023	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	•	Presentation of final impact assessment, comments on draft Environmental Statement, final mitigation and monitoring requirements.
08/04/2024	Meeting	IoM Defa	Non-statutory	•	Presentation of final impact assessment and HRA.
				•	Discussion on remaining outstanding agreements.
Marine Na	vigation Enga	igement Forur	n (MNEF)		



Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non- statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
10/10/2021	Meeting 1	Isle of Man Department of Infrastructure IoMSPC	Non-statutory	 Project introduction and development process Project timeline Project Design (Scoping) and Refinement Community and Maritime Engagement MNEF purpose and ToR Site selection in relation to shipping and navigation constraints Impacts of COVID-19 on data collection
06/05/2022	Meeting 2	Isle of Man Department of Infrastructure IoMSPC	Non-statutory	 Project update - review of key themes from previous meeting Data Collection and NRA Impacts to ferry operators Relation of impacts on ferry routes with regulation and guidance Sensitivity of ferry operator schedules. Impacts of projects on Isle of Man economy/society Extent of incident data Safety of navigating in gaps Consequences of allisions with wind turbines
10/10/2022	Meeting 3	Isle of Man Department of Infrastructure IoMSPC	Non-statutory	 Project update Application process Cumulative impacts of multiple projects on ferry operations (responding to stakeholder feedback) How the cumulative impacts will be assessed or examined Introduction to Morgan/Morecambe combined transmission project.
18/01/2023	Meeting 4	Isle of Man Department of Infrastructure IoMSPC	Non-statutory	 Project update Cumulative assessment approach and progress Update on assessment work completed since MNEF 3 – HAZID workshop, PEIR deliverables Morgan NRA, cumulative regional NRA and bridge simulations PEIR process and statutory consultation Project revisions and commitments Planned activities and next steps.



Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non- statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
21/09/2023	Meeting 5	Isle of Man Department of Infrastructure IoMSPC	Non-statutory	 Project update Cumulative assessment approach and progress PEIR assessment and key findings Project revisions Update on assessment work undertaken since MNEF 4 DCO application process Planned activities and next steps.
08/02/2024	Meeting 6	IoMSPC	Non-statutory	 Project update Update on assessment work undertaken since MNEF 5 and consideration of Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm) DCO application process Planned activities and next steps. Cumulative assessment approach and progress
Commerc	ial fisheries co	onsultation		
29/06/2021	Meeting	Manx Fish Producers Organisation (MFPO)	Non-statutory	 Morgan Array Area location. Co-existence during the operations and maintenance phase Cumulative impacts with other activities and developments in the region Potential impacts on fish stocks.
15/02/2022	Meeting	Isle of Man Government	Non-statutory	• Introduction to project engagement with fisheries, surveys, fisheries liaison, scoping report, data collection, fisheries assessments and distinguish between queen and king scallop fisheries.
24/11/2022	Meeting	Isle of Man Government MFPO	Non-statutory	 Identify vessels affected by cable route surveys Project update Morgan array indicative layout and co- existence during the operations and maintenance phase Cumulative impacts with other activities and developments in the region Potential impacts on fish stocks.



	consultation	Stakeholder	statutory or non- statutory engagement	3	ummary of consultation
11/09/2023	Meeting	Isle of Man Government IoM Defa	Non-statutory	•	Project update and presentation of changes made to the project since PEIR, including scallop mitigation zone
		MFPO		•	Presentation on key issues raised during PEIR consultation
				•	Project commitments for long term Co- existence
				•	Outline Fisheries liaison and coexistence plan (OFLCP)
				•	Next steps for engagement post submission.
Shipping a	and navigatior	n consultation	1	1	
14/02/2022	Meeting	IoMSPC	Non-statutory	•	Project update
				•	Key shipping and navigation impacts
				•	Review of proposed approach to assessment.
04/04/2022	Meeting	IoMSPC	Non-statutory	•	To provide the evidential basis behind the current operations and constraints of ferry operations in order to inform the NRA and EIA.
20/07/2022-	Meeting	IoMSPC	Non-statutory	•	Bridge navigation simulation preparations
21/07/2022				•	Meeting for familiarisation of navigation simulation procedure for IoMSPC by HR Wallingford.
17/08/2022- 19/08/2022	Meeting	IoMSPC	Non-statutory	•	PEIR stage bridge navigation simulations.
19/10/2022	Meeting	Isle of Man Government	Non-statutory	•	Consultation meeting.
13/09/2023- 14/09/2023	Meeting	IoMSPC	Non-statutory	•	Environmental Statement stage bridge navigation simulations.
11/12/2023	Meeting	IoMSPC	Non-statutory	•	To provide an update following the Hazard workshops
Seascape,	, landscape ar	nd visual reso	urces consult	ati	on
28/09/2022	Meeting	Isle of Man	Non-statutory	•	Seascape and visual resources workshop
		Government Department of		•	Extent of the buffer to assess seascape impacts
		Infrastructure		•	Principal guidance/methodology to be used for assessment
				•	Characterisation of the baseline environment
				•	Identification of the representative viewpoint locations.
24/11/2022	Meeting	Isle of Man Government	Non-statutory	•	Seascape and visual resources workshop to present and agree the MDS for the Morgan SLVR assessment.

Socio-economics consultation



Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non- statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
05/12/2023	Meeting	Isle of Man Government	Non-statutory	Project updateDiscussion on the Socio-economic impact assessment work for the EIA
Aviation a	nd radar		1	
20/01/2023	Meeting	Isle of Man Airport	Non-statutory	• Discussion of stakeholder engagement for PEIR, post-PEIR and SoCG.
01/08/2023	Meeting	Isle of Man Airport	Non-statutory	• Discussion of potential impact to stakeholder and agreement of SoCG for mitigation.
05/09/2023	Meeting	Isle of Man Airport	Non-statutory	• Discussion of potential impact to stakeholder and appropriate mitigation for the primary surveillance radar (PSR) at the Isle of Man Airport.
17/04/2024	Meeting	Isle of Man Airport	Non-statutory	 Discuss potential impacts of wind farm projects on Isle of Man Airport operations. Review mitigation strategies and Airport's proposed Aviation Surveillance Strategy.

Summary of post-application consultation with Isle of Man Government TSC. Table 1.3:

Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non- statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
25/07/2024	Relevant representations	TSC	Statutory	Relevant representations of Isle of Man TSC.
30/07/2024	Meeting	IoM DEFA, MFPO, TSC	Non-statutory	Review of the Outline Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan.
28/08/2024	Meeting	TSC	Non-statutory	Initial discussion on SoCG content and scope.
13/09/2024	Email to Applicant	TSC	Non-statutory	Review of SoCG for submission at Deadline 1



1.4 **Agreement log**

1.4.1 **Overview**

1.4.1.1 This section of the SoCG sets out the level of agreement between the parties. For each matter the status is identified as being either agreed, not agreed or an ongoing point of discussion, according to the criteria set out in Table 1.4 below.

Position definitions and colour coding. Table 1.4:

Position and colour coding	Definition of position
Agreed	The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties.
Ongoing point of discussion	The matter is neither agreed or not agreed and is a matter where further discussion is required between the parties.
Not agreed, but not material	The matter is not considered to be agreed between the parties, but is not deemed material
Not agreed	The matter is not considered to be agreed between the parties.

1.4.1.2 The following sections set out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.1.2).



1.4.2 Seascape, landscape and visual resources

Table 1.5 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to seascape, landscape and visual resources.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
EIA				
TSC.SVR.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with the Territorial Sea Committee on potential impacts on seascape, landscape and visual resources.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SVR.2	Consultation	Volume 2, Chapter 10: Seascape and visual resources (APP-014) has had due regard to matters raised by the Territorial Sea Committee through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on seascape, landscape and visual resources.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SVR.3	Policy	The Application has identified and considered plans and policies relevant to seascape, landscape and visual resources, within the Territorial Sea Committee's remit.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SVR.4	Scoping	The scoping of impacts for the EIA for seascape, landscape and visual resources is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SVR.5	Baseline environment	The baseline characterisation for seascape, landscape and visual resources is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SVR.6	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SVR.7	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 10: Seascape and visual resources (APP-014) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed

Table 1.5: Agreement Log between the parties on seascape, landscape and visual resources.



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.SVR.8	Assessment methodology	Agreement on the approach to assessment methodology including viewpoints for inclusion.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SVR.9	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	There will be no significant effects on seascape, landscape and visual receptors for the Morgan Generation Assets alone with the exception of Marine Character Area (MCA) 38 Irish Sea South (the area occupied by the Morgan Generation Assets) during construction and decommissioning.	Ongoing point of discussion. TSC to review application documents.	Ongoing point of discussion
TSC.SVR.10	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other	There will be no significant effects on seascape, landscape and visual receptors for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects and plans with the exception of:	Ongoing point of discussion. TSC to review application documents.	Ongoing point of discussion
	projects	 MCA 38: Irish Sea South, for which significant cumulative effects will arise during operation and maintenance phase resulting from the addition of Morgan Generation Assets alongside Morecambe Generation Assets (Scenario 2). 		
		 Isle of Man Landscape Character Types: LCT E Rugged Coast, LCT D Incised Slopes and LCT H Coastal Cliffs for which is potentially significant cumulative effects will arise during the operations and maintenance phase due to the addition of Morgan alongside Tier 1 projects. 		
		 Visual receptors – national trails and long distance paths – Raad ny Foillan Coastal Path will experience potentially significant cumulative visual effects during the operations and maintenance phase due to the addition of Morgan alongside Tier 1 projects. 		
TSC.SVR.11	Mitigation	The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 10: Seascape and visual resources (APP-014) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed



1.4.3 Benthic ecology and physical processes

Table 1.6 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to benthic ecology and physical processes.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
EIA				
TSC.BE.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with IoM Defa on potential impacts on benthic ecology.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.BE.2	Consultation	Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology (APP- 020) has had due regard to matters raised by IoM Defa through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on benthic subtidal ecology.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.BE.3	Surveys	The approach to benthic ecology site-specific surveys is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.BE.4	Baseline environment	Sufficient site-specific and desktop data has been collated to appropriately characterise the baseline benthic subtidal ecology environment to inform the EIA.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.BE.5	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.BE.6	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity of benthic subtidal ecology receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology (APP-020).	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.BE.7	Assessment methodology	The list of projects screened into the Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) in the EIA are appropriate including offshore developments within Manx territorial waters.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.BE.8	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology (APP- 020) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.BE.9	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	There will be no significant effects on benthic subtidal ecology in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.BE.10	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	There will be no significant effects on benthic subtidal ecology in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other plans and projects.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.BE.11	Mitigation	The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology (APP- 020) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
Draft DCO				
TSC.BE.12	Monitoring requirements / conditions	The mitigation and monitoring outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 2: Benthic subtidal ecology (APP-020) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are suitable for the purposes of the DCO application.	Agreed. It is noted that the requirement for preparation and approval of an Offshore Environmental Management Plan is secured within the deemed Marine Licences (Schedule 3 and 4) of the draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).	Agreed



1.4.4 Fish and shellfish ecology

Table 1.7 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to fish and shellfish ecology.

Table 1.7:	Agreement Log between the	parties on fish and shellfish ecology.
------------	---------------------------	--

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
EIA				
TSC.FSE.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with IoM Defa on potential impacts on fish and shellfish ecology.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.FSE.2	Consultation	Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish ecology (APP- 021) has had due regard to matters raised by IoM Defa through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on fish and shellfish ecology.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.FSE.3	Surveys	The approach to fish and shellfish ecology site-specific surveys is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.FSE.4	Baseline environment	Sufficient site-specific and desktop data has been collated to appropriately characterise the baseline fish and shellfish ecology environment to inform the EIA.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.FSE.5	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.FSE.6	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity of fish and shellfish ecology receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish ecology (APP-021).	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.FSE.7	Assessment methodology	The list of projects screened into the CEA in the EIA are appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.FSE.8	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish ecology (APP- 021) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.FSE.9	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	There will be no significant effects on fish and shellfish ecology in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone with the exception of piling impacts.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.FSE.10	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	There will be no significant effects on fish and shellfish ecology in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other plans and projects, with the exception of piling impacts.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.FSE.11	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone and cumulatively with other projects	For piling impacts, no significant effects are predicted on fish and shellfish receptors, other than cod and herring during the spawning period.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant on potential impacts, mitigation and monitoring associated with piling and underwater sound.	Ongoing point of discussion
TSC.FSE.12	Mitigation	The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish ecology (APP- 021) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided (with the exception of underwater sound).	Agreed. It is noted that the requirement for preparation and approval of an Offshore Environmental Management Plan is secured within the deemed Marine Licences (Schedule 3 and 4) of the draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).	Agreed
TSC.FSE.13	Mitigation	The Underwater sound management strategy (UWSMS) (APP-068) is appropriate and will ensure significant effects from underwater sound are avoided.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant on potential impacts, mitigation and monitoring associated with piling and underwater sound.	Ongoing point of discussion
Draft DCO		1	1	
TSC.FSE.14	Monitoring requirements / conditions	The mitigation and monitoring outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish ecology (APP-021) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are suitable for the purposes of the DCO application.	Agreed. It is noted that the requirement for preparation and approval of an Offshore Environmental Management Plan is secured within the deemed Marine Licences (Schedule 3 and 4) of the draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).	Agreed



1.4.5 Shipping and lifeline ferry services

1.4.5.1 Table 1.8 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to shipping and navigation.

Table 1.8:	Agreement Log	g between the	parties on shi	pping and navigation.
------------	---------------	---------------	----------------	-----------------------

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
EIA				
TSC.SN.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with the IoMSPC, IoM DoI and IoMG on potential impacts on shipping and navigation.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SN.2	Consultation	The EIA has had due regard to matters raised by the IoMSPC, IoM DoI and IoMG through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on shipping and navigation.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SN.3	Baseline environment	The baseline for shipping and navigation has been appropriately characterised and appropriate data has been used to inform assessment.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SN.4	Baseline environment	The potential effects identified within the chapter represent a comprehensive list of potential effects on shipping and navigation from the Morgan Generation Assets.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SN.5	Assessment methodology	The assessment methodology for shipping and navigation is appropriate (including interpretation of impact and levels of significance).	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SN.6	Assessment methodology	The navigation simulations were conducted in a fair and reasonable manner, and are appropriate for informing Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060).	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.SN.7	Assessment methodology	The Hazard Workshop was undertaken allowing adequate stakeholder input into the risk assessment and are reflected within the NRA conclusions set out in section 1.11 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP- 060).	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SN.8	Assessment methodology	Relevant cumulative projects have been identified and are included within the shipping and navigation assessment	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SN.9	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 7: Shipping and navigation (APP-025) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SN.10	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	Hazards and impacts identified as relevant to the Morgan Generation Assets have been assessed within the shipping and navigation assessment.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SN.11		Hazards have been assessed as either Broadly Acceptable or Tolerable (if As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP)) and there are no unacceptable hazards.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SN.12		The mitigation measures described within Table 1.9 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) are appropriate. Further mitigation measures identified (but not adopted) in Table 1.42 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) would be disproportionate and therefore all medium risk hazards can be considered ALARP without the need for additional risk control measures.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SN.13		The Morgan Generation Assets would not interfere with the use of recognised sea lanes essential to international navigation (Traffic Separation Schemes).		Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.SN.14		The Morgan Generation Assets could have potential significant effects on lifeline ferry services due to adverse weather routing for IoMSPC.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant and the respective experts.	Ongoing point of discussion
TSC.SN.15		All other impacts (impacts on search and rescue, radar, communications and positioning systems, etc.) would not be significant in EIA terms with proposed mitigation measures in place.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SN.16	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively excluding Mooir Vannin Offshore	Hazards and impacts identified as relevant to the Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (excluding Mooir Vannin) have been assessed within the shipping and navigation assessment.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SN.17	Wind Farm	Hazards relating to the cumulative scenario (excluding Mooir Vannin) have been assessed as either Broadly Acceptable or Tolerable (if ALARP) and there are no unacceptable hazards.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SN.18		The mitigation measures described within Table 1.9 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) are appropriate. Further mitigation measures identified (but not adopted) in Table 1.42 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) would be disproportionate and therefore all medium risk hazards relating to the cumulative scenario (excluding Mooir Vannin) can be considered ALARP without the need for additional risk control measures.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SN.19		The Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (excluding Mooir Vannin) would not interfere with the use of recognised sea lanes essential to international navigation (Traffic Separation Schemes).	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.SN.20		The Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (excluding Mooir Vannin) could have potential significant effects due to:	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant and the respective experts.	Ongoing point of discussion
		Adverse weather routing for IoMSPC		
		• Impact on vessel to vessel collision risk (Scenario 3)		
		• Impact on allision (contact) risk to vessels (Scenario 3).		
TSC.SN.21		All other impacts (impacts on search and rescue, radar, communications and positioning systems, etc.) assessed for the cumulative scenario (excluding Mooir Vannin) would not be significant in EIA terms with proposed mitigation measures in place.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SN.22	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively including Mooir Vannin Offshore	Hazards and impacts identified as relevant to the Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (including Mooir Vannin) have been assessed within the shipping and navigation assessment.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SN.23	Wind Farm	Allision and collision risk hazards between the Morgan Array Area and Mooir Vannin Scoping Boundary could be unacceptable. All other hazards relating to the cumulative scenario (including Mooir Vannin) have been assessed as either Broadly Acceptable or Tolerable (if ALARP).	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant and the respective experts.	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.SN.24		The mitigation measures described within Table 1.9 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) are appropriate. Further mitigation measures identified (but not adopted) in Table 1.42 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) would be disproportionate and therefore all medium risk hazards relating to the cumulative scenario (including Mooir Vannin) can be considered ALARP without the need for additional risk control measures.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant and the respective experts.	Ongoing point of discussion
TSC.SN.25		The Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (including Mooir Vannin) would not interfere with the use of recognised sea lanes essential to international navigation (Traffic Separation Schemes).	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SN.26		The Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (including Mooir Vannin) could have potential significant effects on lifeline ferry services.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant and the respective experts.	Ongoing point of discussion
TSC.SN.27		Other impacts (impacts on search and rescue, radar, communications and positioning systems, etc.) assessed for the cumulative scenario (including Mooir Vannin) would not be significant in EIA terms with proposed mitigation measures in place.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed



1.4.6 Socio-economics

Table 1.9 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to socio-economics.

Table 1.9:	Agreement Log between the parties on socio-economics.
------------	---

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
EIA				
TSC.SE.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with the Territorial Sea Committee on potential impacts on socio-economics.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SE.2	Consultation	Volume 4, Chapter 13: Socio-economics (APP- 017) has had due regard to matters raised by the Territorial Sea Committee through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on socio-economics.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SE.3	Policy	The Application has identified and considered plans and policies relevant to socio-economics, within the Territorial Sea Committee's remit.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.SE.4	Scoping	The scoping of impacts for the EIA for socio- economics is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SE.5	Baseline environment	The baseline characterisation for socio-economics is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SE.6	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SE.7	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 13: Socio-economics (APP- 017) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SE.8	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity of socio-economics receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 2, Chapter 13: Socio-economics (APP-017).	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.SE.9	Assessment methodology	The list of projects screened into the CEA in Volume 2, Chapter 13: Socio-economics (APP-017) are appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.SE.10	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	There will be no significant adverse effects on socio-economics receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant with regard to the impact on the Steam Packet Company ferry services.	Ongoing point of discussion
TSC.SE.11	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	There will be no significant effects on socio- economics receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects and plans.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant with regard to the impact on the Steam Packet Company ferry services.	Ongoing point of discussion
TSC.SE.12	Mitigation	The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 13: Socio-economics (APP- 017) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant with regard to the impact on the Steam Packet Company ferry services.	Ongoing point of discussion



1.4.7 Marine mammals

Table 1.10 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to marine mammals.

Table 1.10: Agreement Log between the parties on marine mammals.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
EIA				
TSC.MM.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with IoM Defa on potential impacts on marine mammals.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.2	Consultation	Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals (AS-010) has had due regard to matters raised by IoM Defa through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on marine mammals.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.3	Surveys	Aerial surveys with respect to marine mammals, in particular the use of an appropriate buffer around the Morgan Array Area, are appropriate	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.4	Baseline environment	The baseline characterisation for marine mammals is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.5	Scoping	The scoping of impacts for the EIA for marine mammals is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.6	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.7	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals (AS-010) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.8	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity of marine mammal receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals (AS-010).	Agreed	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.MM.9	Assessment methodology	The approach to underwater sound modelling and approach to assessment of underwater sound impacts is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.10	Assessment methodology	The scoping of species to be included within the assessments is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.11	Assessment methodology	The approach to densities and reference populations is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.12	Assessment methodology	The list of projects screened into the CEA in the EIA are appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.13	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	Other than unexploded ordnance (UXO) impacts, there will be no significant effects on marine mammal receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone.	None listed as significant - Agreed	Agreed
TSC.MM.14	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	Other than piling and UXO impacts, there will be no significant effects on marine mammal receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant on potential impacts, mitigation and monitoring associated with piling and underwater sound.	Ongoing point of discussion
TSC.MM.15	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	For UXO impacts, although a significant effect (injury) on harbour porpoise is predicted any such effects will be managed and avoided through measures set out in the outline Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol (MMMP) (APP-072) and outline UWSMS (APP-068), which will be agreed with stakeholders post-consent.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant on potential impacts, mitigation and monitoring associated with piling and underwater sound.	Ongoing point of discussion
TSC.MM.16	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	For piling impacts, although a significant cumulative effect (in EIA terms) is predicted on bottlenose dolphin, any such effects will be managed and avoided through measures set out in the outline UWSMS (APP-068), which will be agreed with stakeholders post-consent.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant on potential impacts, mitigation and monitoring associated with piling and underwater sound.	Ongoing point of discussion



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.MM.17	Mitigation	The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals (AS-010) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.	Agreed. It is noted that the requirement for preparation and approval of an Offshore Environmental Management Plan is secured within the deemed Marine Licences (Schedule 3 and 4) of the draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).	Agreed
Draft DCO				
TSC.MM.18	Monitoring requirements / conditions	The mitigation and monitoring outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals (AS-010) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are suitable for the purposes of the DCO application.	Agreed. It is noted that the requirement for preparation and approval of an Offshore Environmental Management Plan is secured within the deemed Marine Licences (Schedule 3 and 4) of the draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).	Agreed



1.4.8 Offshore ornithology

Table 1.11 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to offshore ornithology.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
EIA				
TSC.00.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with the IoM Defa on potential impacts on offshore ornithology.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.00.2	Consultation	Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology (APP-023) has had due regard to matters raised by the IoM Defa through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on offshore ornithology.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.00.3	Policy	The Application has identified and considered plans and policies identified as relevant to offshore ornithology, within the Territorial Sea Committee's remit.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.00.4	Surveys	The approach to site-specific digital aerial surveys is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.00.5	Scoping	The scoping of impacts for the EIA for offshore ornithology is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.OO.6	Baseline environment	The baseline characterisation for offshore ornithology is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.00.7	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OO.8	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 5 Offshore ornithology (APP-023) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed	Agreed

Table 1.11: Agreement Log between the parties on offshore ornithology.



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.OO.9	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity of offshore ornithology receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology (APP- 023).	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OO.10	Assessment methodology	The approaches to displacement, collision risk modelling (CRM), apportioning and population viability analysis (PVA) assessment methodologies are appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.00.11	Assessment methodology	The list of projects screened into the CEA in the EIA are appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
FSC.OO.12	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	There will be no significant effects on offshore ornithology receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
rsc.oo.13	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	There will be no significant effects on ornithology receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other plans and projects	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
rsc.oo.14	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	Agreement on approach to cumulative assessment for projects where impact quantification is unavailable.	Agreed	Agreed
FSC.OO.15	Mitigation	The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology (APP-023) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.	Agreed. It is noted that the requirement for preparation and approval of an Offshore Environmental Management Plan is secured within the deemed Marine Licences (Schedule 3 and 4) of the draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.OO.16	Monitoring requirements / conditions	The mitigation and monitoring outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 5: Offshore ornithology (APP-023) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are suitable for the purposes of the DCO application.	Agreed. It is noted that the requirement for preparation and approval of an Offshore Environmental Management Plan is secured within the deemed Marine Licences (Schedule 3 and 4) of the draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).	Agreed



1.4.9 Commercial fisheries

- 1.4.9.1 Table 1.12 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to commercial fisheries.
- Table 1.12: Agreement Log between the parties on commercial fisheries.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
EIA				
TSC.CF.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with the MFPO, IOMG and IoM Defa on potential impacts on commercial fisheries.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.2	Consultation	The EIA has had due regard to matters raised by the MFPO, IOMG and IoM Defa through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on commercial fisheries.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.3	Scoping	The scoping of impacts for the EIA for commercial fisheries is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.4	Surveys	The approach to commercial fisheries site-specific surveys is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.5	Baseline environment	The baseline for commercial fisheries has been appropriately characterised.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.6	Assessment methodology	The assessment methodology for commercial fisheries is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.7	Assessment methodology	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.8	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 6: Commercial fisheries (APP-024) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.CF.9	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	There will be no significant effects on commercial fisheries in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone taking into account proposed mitigation.	Agreed	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.CF.10	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	There will be no significant effects on commercial fisheries in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other plans and projects taking into account proposed mitigation.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant on how cumulative impacts have been assessed and if monitoring is required.	Ongoing point of discussion
TSC.CF.11	Mitigation	The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 6: Commercial fisheries (APP- 024), outline Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan (FLCP) (APP-065) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant on how cumulative impacts have been assessed and if monitoring is required.	Ongoing point of discussion
Other Docur	nents and Plans			
TSC.CF-12	Outline Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan (FLCP)	An outline of this plan has been submitted as part of the Application (APP-065). The co-existence approach for commercial fisheries will be agreed through the development and implementation of the FLCP.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant on whether monitoring is required.	Ongoing point of discussion
Draft DCO				
TSC.CF.13	Monitoring requirements / conditions	The mitigation and monitoring outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 6: Commercial fisheries (APP-024) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP-076) are suitable for the purposes of the DCO application.	TSC requires further engagement with the Applicant on whether monitoring is required.	Ongoing point of discussion



1.4.10 Other sea users

Table 1.13 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.3) in relation to other sea users.

Table 1.13: Agreement Log between the parties on other sea users.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
EIA				
TSC.OSU.1	Consultation	The Applicant has undertaken adequate consultation with the Territorial Sea Committee on potential impacts on other sea users.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OSU.2	Consultation	Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users (APP-027) has had due regard to matters raised by the Territorial Sea Committee through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on other sea users.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OSU.3	Policy	The Application has identified and considered plans and policies relevant to other sea users, within the Territorial Sea Committee's remit.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OSU.4	Scoping	The scoping of impacts for the EIA for other sea users is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.OSU.5	Baseline environment	The baseline characterisation for other sea users is appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.OSU.6	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors and impacts assessed.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.OSU.7	Project design envelope	Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users (APP-027) has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.	Agreed	Agreed
TSC.OSU.8	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity of other sea users receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users (APP-027).	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	Territorial Sea Committee Position	Status
TSC.OSU.9	Assessment methodology	The list of projects screened into the CEA in Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users (APP-027) are appropriate.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.OSU.10	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets alone	There will be no significant effects on other sea users receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets alone.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.OSU.11	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects	There will be no significant effects on other sea users receptors in EIA terms for the Morgan Generation Assets cumulatively with other projects and plans.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed
TSC.OSU.12	Mitigation	The mitigation measures and conditions outlined in Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users (APP-027) and the Mitigation and Monitoring schedule (APP- 076) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.	Agreed for IoM impacts	Agreed